

1 1. The extension is due to work-load issues and unanticipated scheduling conflicts of
2 Plaintiffs' current counsel, and in light of the crucial importance of the Hyundai Defendants'
3 Motion and the cases dispositive sanctions sought therein, an extension of time is necessary to
4 complete work on the motions. This is the first stipulation for the requested extension.

5 2. Although Plaintiffs' counsel has begun preparing and will continue to diligently
6 work on Plaintiffs' response to the Hyundai Defendants' Motion, additional time is needed due
7 to work-load related issues and unanticipated scheduling conflicts.

8 3. Thus, the parties stipulate and agree that the deadline for Plaintiffs to respond to
9 the Hyundai Defendants' Motion to October 20, 2023. Additional time is not expected or needed.

10 4. This stipulation is made in good faith and not to delay the proceedings.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

12 Respectfully submitted this 13th day of October, 2023.

13 CHRISTIANSEN TRIAL LAWYERS

14 /s/ *Peter S. Christiansen*

15 PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ.
16 Nevada Bar No. 5254
17 R. TODD TERRY, ESQ.
18 Nevada Bar No. 6519
19 WHITNEY J. BARRETT, ESQ.
20 Nevada Bar No. 13662
21 710 South 7th Street
22 Las Vegas, NV 89101
23 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

13 BOWMAN AND BROOKE, LLP

14 /s/ *Lauren L. Walter*

15 PAUL G. CEREGHINI, ESQ.
16 Nevada Bar No. 10000
17 LAUREN L. WALTER, ESQ.
18 Nevada Bar No. 16464
19 Suite 1900, Phoenix Plaza
20 2929 North Central Avenue
21 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2761

22 MARIO D. VALENCIA, ESQ.
23 Nevada Bar No. 6154
24 40 S. Stephanie St., Ste. 201
25 Henderson, Nevada 89012
26 *Attorneys for Defendants*

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: October 16, 2023

25 _____
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

